

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Brot. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/866,478	05/29/2001	Kunihiro Tabuchi	P107390-00005	4389
7590 01/05/2005			EXAMINER	
ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN, PLLC			HAWKINS, CHERYL N	
1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Suite 600 Washington, DC 20036-5339		500	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1734	

DATE MAILED: 01/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/866,478	TABUCHI, KUNIHIRO				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Cheryl N Hawkins	1734				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 20 December 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the control of the control	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	•					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the first forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI fextension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action: or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	•				
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	,				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consideration	dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	•					
Claim(s) allowed: 7 and 8.						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 3-6.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1,2 and 9-1	<u>1</u> .					
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	,				
I0.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	COTUE					
	CHRIS FIORILLA	,				
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Cheryl N. Hawkins						
331		January 3, 2005				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

런 . 🐪

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claim 3, as presented in the proposed amendment, includes limitations from previously presented Claims 3, 4, and 5. The combination of those claim limitations as presented in proposed Claim 3 was not previously considered and would require further consideration and/or search. In light of the refusal of entry of the proposed amendment, the arguments pertaining to the proposed claims have not been considered.

Continuation of 10. Other: In response to the applicant's arguments that the new rejection of Claim 6 was not necessitated by the applicant's amendment and that finality of the rejection should be withdrawn, the examiner notes that the body of the rejection for Claim 6 in the office actions dated March 31, 2004 and September 21, 2004 were identical, but the listing of the Otruba reference was omitted from rejection heading for Claim 6. Therefore, the rejection heading for Claim 6 in the office action dated September 21, 2004 was modified to remedy that minor deficiency. Since the body of the rejection for Claim 6 was not modified, it doesn't appear that withdrawal of the finality of the rejection is necessary.